## California and Western Medicine

Owned and Published by the

## CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

Official Organ of the California and Nevada Medical Associations FOUR FIFTY SUTTER, ROOM 2004, SAN FRANCISCO

Telephone Douglas 0062

Address communications to the EDITOR, as per the above address.

EDITOR . . . . . . . . . . . . . . GEORGE H. KRESS
Associate Editor for California . . . . EMMA W. POPE
Associate Editor for N Associate Editor for Nevada . . . . HORACE J. BROWN

Advertising Representative for Northern California . . . . . . . . L. J. FLYNN, 544 Market Street, San Francisco

Subscription prices, \$5.00 (\$6.00 for foreign countries); single copies, 50 cents.

Volumes begin with the first of January and the first of July. Subscriptions may commence at any time.

Change of Address.—Request for change of address should give both the old and the new address. No change in any address on the mailing list will be made until such change is requested by county secretaries or by the member concerned.

Advertisements.—The journal is published on the seventh of the month. Advertising copy must be received not later than the 15th of the month preceding issue. Advertising rates will be sent on request.

be sent on request.

Responsibility for Statements and Conclusions in Original Articles.—Authors are responsible for all statements, conclusions and methods of presenting their subjects. These may or may not be in harmony with the views of the editorial staff. It is aimed to permit authors to have as wide latitude as the general policy of the journal and the demands on its space may permit. The right to reduce or reject any article is always reserved.

Contributions—Exclusive Publication.—Articles are accepted for publication on condition that they are contributed solely to this journal.

Leaflet Regarding Rules of Publication. — California and Western Medicine has prepared a leaflet explaining its rules regarding publication. This leaflet gives suggestions on the preparation of manuscripts and of illustrations. It is suggested that contributors to this journal write to its office requesting a copy of this leaflet.

## EDITORIALS\*

## CALIFORNIA'S NEW CLINIC LAW

Title of California Clinic Bill.—In the Miscellany department of this number of California AND WESTERN MEDICINE appear the opinion and interpretations of the Hon. U. S. Webb, Attorney General of the State of California, on certain phases of California's new clinic statute (Assembly Bill No. 1277, Chapter 765, approved by Governor James Rolph, Jr., June 5, 1933). The title of this measure reads as follows:

"An act defining clinics and dispensaries, providing for the operation, conduct, maintenance, examination and regulation thereof, requiring permits therefor, providing for the issuance and revocation of such permits by the State Board of Public Health, fixing the amount of and providing for the collection and disposition of annual fees for such permits, creating the clinic and dispensary fund, prescribing the powers and duties of the State Board of Public Health and of the Director of Public Health in reference to such clinics and dispensaries, and prescribing penalties for the violation of the provisions of this act."

State Board of Health to Supervise the Clinics.— When Governor Rolph signed this new statute, the Board of Public Health of California, at its first subsequent meeting, placed the item on its

\* Editorials on subjects of scientific and clinical interest, contributed by members of the California Medical Association, are printed in the Editorial Comments column, which follows.

docket for consideration. Letters were also sent to members of the California Medical Association who had been especially interested in the passage of the measure, asking for suggestions regarding fees to be charged, and so on. It early became evident to the State Health Board that it was desirable to secure from the Attorney General an opinion on some of the provisions of the Act, concerning which differences of opinion in interpretation might easily arise. A letter formulating such queries was thereupon dispatched by the board; and the reply of the Attorney General is printed on page 214 of this issue.

State Attorney General Webb's Opinion.—A perusal of Attorney General Webb's opinion reveals how difficult it is to secure the enactment of laws in such simple and direct form that confusion and controversy do not come into question. It must be remembered that the Act as passed, owing to amendments, is quite different in parts from the original draft, as first drawn up by Dr. John Ruddock of Los Angeles and as submitted to the legislature through the California Medical Association Department of Public Relations.

This clinic law is something comparatively new and, like our medical practice acts in the stages of their beginning, may need considerable modification to make it elastically workable and fully practicable.

With the advice, however, which Attorney General Webb and his deputy, Lionel Browne, Esq., have submitted, it will now become possible for the State Board of Public Health to devise ways and means to put the Act into operation. But it is unfortunate that the licensing fee for all clinics is held to the minimum \$5, because this small sum will probably not be sufficient to create a fund through which the various provisions of the Act may be adequately carried out. Section 5 states:

"... All existing clinics and dispensaries as herein defined, other than those maintained, conducted and operated by the United States of America, or any department, official, or agency thereof, or clinics maintained by employers without profit for the sole benefit of their own employees, or research clinics working under nonprofit foundation registered with the United States government for tax exemption shall make written application as herein provided for such permit within ninety days from and after the date when this Act goes into effect. Application for permit must be made annually by every such person, firm or corpora-tion maintaining, conducting and operating a clinic and dispensary other than the United States of America or any department, official or agency thereof, or clinics maintained by employers without profit for the sole benefit of their own employees, or research clinics working under nonprofit foundation registered with the United States Government for tax exemption.'

When the Clinic Law Becomes Operative.— This Act, having been signed by Governor James Rolph, Jr., on June 5, by special legislative action, became a law on August 21, 1933. According to the provision in Section 5, just quoted, every clinic, other than those specified, must make written application for a license to operate within ninety days, or before December 5. The opinion